UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES (OF AMERICA	
		Case No. 1:21-CR-100, 1:15-CR-22
V.		ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER
v.		18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)
JOAN CICCHIELLO)	(COMPASSIONATE RELEASE)
Upon motion	of \boxtimes the defendant \square th	e Director of the Bureau of Prisons for a reduction
in sentence under 18	U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A),	and after considering the applicable factors
provided in 18 U.S.C	. § 3553(a) and the appli	cable policy statements issued by the Sentencing
Commission,		
IT IS ORDERED tha	t the motion is:	
□ GRANTED		
☐ The defend	lant's previously impose	d sentence of imprisonment of
is reduced to		If this sentence is less than the amount of time
the defendant already	served, the sentence is r	reduced to a time served; or
☐ Time serve	d.	
If the defenda	nt's sentence is reduced	to time served:
	This order is stayed for	up to fourteen days, for the verification of the
	defendant's residence a	and/or establishment of a release plan, to make
	appropriate travel arran	gements, and to ensure the defendant's safe
	release. The defendant	shall be released as soon as a residence is verified,
	a release plan is establi	shed, appropriate travel arrangements are made,

	and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in	
	ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are	
	needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the	
	defendant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and	
	show cause why the stay should be extended; or	
	There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place,	
	this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel	
	arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant	
	shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and	
	it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring	
	travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to	
	make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe	
	release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause	
	why the stay should be extended.	
☐ The defendant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside		
upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it		
was not include	led in the motion for sentence reduction.	
□ Under 18 U	J.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term" of	
□ probation o	r □ supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved portion	
of the original	term of imprisonment).	
☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to		
the "sr	pecial term" of supervision; or	

☐ The conditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:
☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.
☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as
follows:
☐ DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the United
States Attorney to file a response on or before, along with all Bureau of
Prisons records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.

☑ DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits.

☑ FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)

Defendant Joan Cicchiello asserts two bases for compassionate release: inadequate medical care and harsh conditions of confinement. As a threshold matter, we note that Cicchiello's arguments are premised largely on her subjective and unsubstantiated complaints, many of which we have difficulty crediting given her long history of prevarication. Assuming their truth arguendo, we nonetheless conclude that neither basis constitutes an extraordinary and compelling reason for compassionate release. The medical records reflect that BOP staff are monitoring Cicchiello's medical conditions; that she is seen routinely for those conditions; and that the BOP is working to correct her issues of specific complaint, both with respect to her medical issues and her claim that her toilet is broken and leaking sewage. Neither of these assertions, whether individually or in combination, establish extraordinary and compelling reasons for compassionate release.

Even if Cicchiello had established extraordinary and compelling reasons for compassionate release, the Section 3553(a) factors do not support a sentence reduction. Cicchiello is currently serving an aggregate federal sentence of 87 months' imprisonment on two federal dockets, with a projected release date of August 11, 2023. Cicchiello has a long history of criminal conduct, and she committed her most serious crimes while in her 60s and in deteriorating health, belying the usual assumptions about risk of recidivism decreasing with age. She also committed the instant perjury offense while on home confinement for her health-care fraud conviction, refuting counsel's suggestion that release to home confinement should quell the court's recidivism concerns. We are not unsympathetic to Cicchiello's health conditions or to the unpleasant conditions of confinement in which she claims to find herself. We encourage the defendant to make use of the Bureau of Prison's grievance system to address her concerns. But the fact remains she committed serious, successive federal crimes and her sentences on both dockets already reflect downward variances to account for her age and medical condition. Our primary concerns at sentencing were with ensuring just punishment, reflecting the seriousness of the offense, and affording general and specific deterrence, and all of those concerns remain today. We therefore conclude the Section 3553(a) factors, on balance, require that Cicchiello's sentence remain intact.

☐ DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE because the defendant has not exhausted all administrative
remedies as required in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since receipt of the
defendant's request by the warden of the defendant's facility.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 24, 2023 /s/ Christopher C. Conner

Christopher C. Conner United States District Judge Middle District of Pennsylvania